UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CON		
10/798,897	03/12/2004	George Bertram	034017R004	7423	
	7590 08/22/2007 BRELL & RUSSELL	EXAMINER			
1850 M STREE	ET, N.W., SUITE 800	JACYNA, J CASIMER			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3754		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

:			Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	•			. "		
	Office Action Summary		10/798,897		BERTRAM, GEOR	<i>∴</i> ∟
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Examiner		Art Unit	•
	The MAIL INC DATE - CALL	**	J. Casimer Jacyna		3754	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion app	ears on the cover s	sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	lress
		 DEDLA	LIC CET TO EVO	DE AMONTU		
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DA 7 CFR 1.13 cation. by period w	ATE OF THIS CON 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SI cause the application to be	MMUNICATION er, may a reply be tim X (6) MONTHS from	ely filed the mailing date of this con	**
Status			erant in the	- 1		
1)[▽]	Posnonsivo to communication (a) filed		1 1 h ff		٠.	
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b)					
3)□		. ,	action is non-final		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3)[Since this application is in condition for					merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice	under E	x paπe Quayle, 19	935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims	11 1 1			•	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the app	lication				•
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		in from considerat	ion		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withidiay	ni irom considerat	.1011.	•	
6) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				: :	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		:		• :	
′=	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction	and/or e	lection requiremen	n•		
9/63	olami(o) <u>1 40</u> are subject to restriction	ailu/Ol e	rection requiremen	i ii.		•
Applicati	on Papers			* 1		•
9)	The specification is objected to by the F	yaminei				
				cted to by the F	vaminer	
/-	· ·					
	•		the state of the s			2 1 121/4)
11)						
		1 1				J-102.
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			; + 1		•
12) 🔲	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign	priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
_						
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments	have been receiv	ed.		
	2 Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments	have been receiv	ed in Application	on No	4 - 4 ₃ ,
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t	he prior	ity documents hav	e been receive	d in this National S	tage
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for	or a list o	of the certified cop	ies not receive	d.	
	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	· ;•		, , , , ;		
					.;	
Attachment	` '			, i	•	- 14 A
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) 🔲 N	otice of Informal Pa		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) C	ther:		

Application/Control Number: 10/798,897 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17, drawn to a chemical feed pump, classified in class 417, subclass 420.
- II. Claims 18-45, 47 and 48, drawn to a chemical feed system, classified in class 222, subclass 135.
- III. Claim 46, drawn to a method of feeding chemicals, classified in class 222, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because subcombination claim 1 calls for a pump head communicating with an inlet conduit and an outlet conduit which limitation is omitted from combination claims 18, 29, 31 and first and second magnetic coupling members on opposite sides of an intermediate shroud which limitation is omitted from combination claims 36 and 43. The subcombination has separate utility such as usage with a pneumatic or hydraulic driver.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all

Art Unit: 3754

the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 3

- 3. Inventions III and (I with II) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a process using a pneumatic or hydraulic driver and not requiring the motor drive shaft of claim 46 with respect to claims 1, 36, 43 and 47, or a process f connecting a pipe directly to the pump inlet and outlet and not requiring an inlet and an outlet manifold as called for in claim 46 with respect to claims 18, 29 and 31.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,897

Art Unit: 3754

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Dennis C. Rodgers on 8/17/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Casimer Jacyna whose telephone number is 571-272-4889. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed. thru Fri. 9AM-7PM, Mon. 7AM-1PM.

Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

J. Casimer Jacyna Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754